



Linux IO internals for PostgreSQL administrators in 2020



Ilya Kosmodemiansky (ik@dataegret.com)

- Linux is a most common OS for databases
- DBAs often run into IO problems
- Most of the information on topic is written by kernel developers (for kernel developers) or is checklist-style
- Checklists are useful, but up to certain workload



How to maximize page throughput between memory and disks

- Things involved:
 - Disks
 - Memory
 - CPU
 - IO Schedulers
 - Filesystems
 - Database itself
- IO problems for databases are not always only about disks



How to maximize page throughput between memory and disks

- Things involved:
 - Disks because latency of this part was very significant
 - Memory
 - CPU
 - IO Schedulers
 - Filesystems
 - Database itself
- IO problems for databases are not always only about disks



- Maximizing IO performance through maximizing throughput is easy up to certain moment
- Minimizing latency of IO usually is tricky
- With large adoption of proper SSDs, hardware latency dropped dramatically



- Database development was concentrated around maximization of throughput
- So did Linux kernel development
- Many rotating disks era IO optimization techniques are not that good for SSDs



PostgreSQL database





dataegret.com

- Shared memory segment can be very large
- Keeping in-memory pages synchronized with disk generates huge IO
- WAL should be written fast and safe
- One and every layer of OS IO stack involved



Memory allocation and mapping





dataegret.com

- Database with huge shared memory segment benefits from huge pages
- But not from transparent huge pages
 - Databases operate large continuous shared memory segments
 - THP defragmentation can lead to severe performance degradation in such cases



Freeing memory





dataegret.com

- Page-out happens if:
 - someone calls fsync
 - 30 sec timeout exceeded (vm.dirty_expire_centisecs)
 - Too many dirty pages (vm.dirty_background_ratio and vm.dirty_ratio)
- It is reasonable to tune *vm.dirty_** on rotating disks with RAID controller, but helps a little on server class SSDs



- vm.overcommit_memory
 - 0 heuristic overcommit, reduces swap usage
 - 1 always overcommit
 - 2 do not overcommit (vm.overcommit_ratio = 50 by default)
- vm.min_free_kbytes reasonably high (can be easy 1000000 on a server with enough memory)
- vm.swappiness = 1
 - 0 swap disabled
 - 60 default
 - 100 swap preffered instead of other reaping mechanisms
- Your database would not like OOM-killer



13

- vm.panic_on_oom effectively disables OOM-killer, but that is probably not the result you desire
- Or for a certain process: echo -17 > /proc/12465/oom_adj but again







- ext4 or xfs
- Disable write barrier (only if SSD/controller cache is protected by capacitor/battery)















- Linus Elevator the only one in times of 2.4
- merging and sorting request queues
- Had **lots** of problems





- CFQ universal, default one
- deadline rotating disks
- noop or none then disks throughput is so high, that it can not benefit from keen scheduling
 - PCIe SSDs
 - SAN disk arrays



- Effectiveness of **noop** clearly shows ineffectiveness of others, or ineffectiveness of smart sorting as an approach
- blk-mq scheduler was merged into 3.13 kernel
- Much better deals with parallelism of modern SSD basically separate IO queue for each CPU
- The best option for good SSDs right now
- blk-mq and NVMe driver is actually more than scheduler, but a system aimed to substitute whole request layer







- https://www.thomaskrenn.com/en/wiki/Linux_Storage_Stack_Diagram
- Regular updates
- Some things are difficult to draw, but it is a complex topic



24

- Sets of standards, which helps to use modern SSDs more effectively
- For Linux it is first of all NVMe driver (or subsystem)
- Most common example of NVMe SSDs are PCIe NAND drives
- With NVMe v.5 (currently 3 is ready for production) can work up to 32GB/sec
- Are databases NVMe ready?



25

- IO polling
- New IO schedulers Kyber and BFQ (Kernel 4.12)
- IO tagging
- Direct IO improvements
- io_uring (Kernel 5.1)



- Currently PostgreSQL supports DirectIO only for WAL, but it is unusable on practice
- Requires a lots of development
- Very OS specific
- Allows to use specific things, like O_ATOMIC
- PostgreSQL is the only database, which is not using Direct IO
- Many people consider Direct IO as a dirty hack
- Looks like io_uring has better perspectives



ik@dataegret.com

