

How to lower the entry barrier to your scientific software

Gabriele Bozzola, PhD

gbozzola@caltech.edu (Views are my own)

I am a (Scientific) Software Engineer







An open-source climate model in Julia

Ask me more about any of these!

I am a (Scientific) Software Engineer







An open-source climate model in Julia

I also review scientific open-source software



Ask me more about any of these!

Scientific software has fundamentally unique characteristics and needs ...

Scientific software has fundamentally unique characteristics and needs ...

... that lead to unique risks and opportunities ...

Scientific software has fundamentally unique characteristics and needs ...

... that lead to unique risks and opportunities ...

... that we can start addressing with a user/dev/scientist centered approach to documentation ...

Scientific software has fundamentally unique characteristics and needs ...

... that lead to unique risks and opportunities ...

... that we can start addressing with a user/dev/scientist centered approach to documentation ...

... that we can elevate code from just a tool to a self-contained contribution ...

Scientific software has fundamentally unique characteristics and needs ...

... that lead to unique risks and opportunities ...

... that we can start addressing with a user/dev/scientist centered approach to documentation ...

... that we can elevate code from just a tool to a self-contained contribution ...

... to accelerate science!

Scientific software has unique characteristics and needs

Correctness and reproduciability are critical Mostly written and used by people that are not trained software engineers No incentives to build Little to no OA robust ode Little to no OA Copy&Paste&Tweak&Repeat Code finished 20 years ago might still be relevant Assumptions matter Little to no OA Assumptions matter Assumptions matter Copv&Paste&Tweak&Repeat Mostly written and used by people that are not trained software engineers CopyAPasted Twark ARepeat No incentives to build robust code Code finished 20 years ago might still be relevant es to build robust Copy&Paste&Tweak&Repeat Copy&Paste&Tweak&Repeat Mostly written and used by people that are not trained software engineers No incentives to build robust code Mostly written and used by people that are not trained software engineers e to no QA Code can contain experience developed through several PhDs of research Correctness and reproduciability are criti Little to no OA QA Assumptions matter Correctness and reproduciable Little to Assumptions matter Little to no O No incentives to build robust code Assumpt Code can contain experience developed through several PhDs of research Correctness and reproduciability are critical Correctness and reproduciability are critical No Incentives to build robust co

POV: You are an average piece of scientific software

- You have been developed by a graduate student over 5 years (or their advisor 25 years ago)
- People learn how to use you through oral tradition
- You have no automated tests
- You lead to reproducible results only on even days
- You are required to be correct

POV: You are an average piece of scientific software

- You have been developed by a graduate student over 5 years (or their advisor 25 years ago)
- People learn how to use you through oral tradition
- You have no automated tests
- You lead to reproducible results only on even days
- You are required to be correct

- You were used to drive new science and publish papers
- You contain lots of lessons learned
- You could be used for future projects
- You have lots of potential!

Problem: There is a lot of unrealized scientific potential!

Science killers:

- ...

- Time spent understanding the code
- Duplication of efforts
- Incorrect code/usage
- Unknown mismatch in assumptions

Problem: There is a lot of unrealized scientific potential!

Science killers:

- ...

- Time spent understanding the code
- Duplication of efforts
- Incorrect code/usage
- Unknown mismatch in assumptions

Opportunity: Code as a self-contained scientific contribution

Science enabler:

- Openness
- Ease of use and extension
- No hidden knowledge
- Sharing the "lessons learned"

- ...

Problem: There is a lot of unrealized scientific potential!

Science killers:

- ...

- Time spent understanding the code
- Duplication of efforts
- Incorrect code/usage
- Unknown mismatch in assumptions

Opportunity: Code as a self-contained scientific contribution

Science enabler:

- Openness
- Ease of use and extension
- No hidden knowledge
- Sharing the "lessons learned"

- ...

Documentation mitigates risks and contributes to elevating status of code from **tool to scientific contribution**

A practical framework for effective technical documentation

Gabriele Bozzola, PhD

gbozzola@caltech.edu (Views are my own)

Extending Daniele Procida's **diataxis.fr** for scientific code

Diátaxis

A systematic approach to technical documentation authoring.

Two core principles:

Explicitly address **users/developers/maintainers/scientists needs** Explicitly address **how people learn and seek information**



The lab is developing **TheLorean.jl**: A Julia code to compute flux capacitance





Marty McDrive Graduate student Mostly a user



The lab is developing **TheLorean.jl**: A Julia code to compute flux capacitance





Marty McDrive Graduate student Mostly a user



Tera Connor

Postdoc User and developer

The lab is developing **TheLorean.jl**: A Julia code to compute flux capacitance





Marty McDrive Graduate student Mostly a user





Tera Connor *Postdoc* User and developer

The lab is developing **TheLorean.jl**: A Julia code to compute flux capacitance



Dr Barnsworth

"Competing" researcher Interested in methods and capabilities





Marty McDrive Graduate student Mostly a user



Tera Connor *Postdoc* User and developer

The lab is developing **TheLorean.jl**: A Julia code to compute flux capacitance



Dr Barnsworth

"Competing" researcher Interested in methods and capabilities



To use, to extend, to learn from







So, you decided you want to learn to <insert craft>









THEORETICAL KNOWLEDGE

PRACTICAL SKILL





THEORETICAL KNOWLEDGE



WHILE LEARNING



WHILE LEARNING

WHILE DOING



The key idea:

DOCUMENTATION IS NOT ONE THING, AND IS NOT FOR ONE PERSON









GOAL: Get the user started, provide familiarity with the vocabu

- Provide a complete picture before they start
- Ensure the user sees results immediately
- Describe concrete steps, not abstract concepts
- Offer only minimum, necessary, explanation
- Ignore options and alternatives

TUTORIALS

Tutorials are learning-oriented







TUTORIALS



GOAL: Get the user started, provide familiarity with the voca

- Provide a complete picture before they start
- Ensure the user sees results immediately
- Describe concrete steps, not abstract concept
- Offer only minimum, necessary, explanation
- Ignore options and alternatives

Tutorials are learning-oriented







ARTWORK » CANVAS

How to Prepare Canvas for Oil Paint



HOW-TO GUIDE

GOAL: Provide steps to accomplish something

- Describe a sequence of actions

- Solve a problem
- Don't explain concepts
- Be flexible
- Omit the unnecessary

How-to guides are goal oriented



HOW-TO GUIDE

GOAL: Provide steps to accomplish something

- Describe a sequence of actions

- Solve a problem
- Don't explain concepts
- Be flexible
- Omit the unnecessary

How-to guides are goal oriented

A tool to bridge learning-doing bridge: EXAMPLES

GOAL: Demonstrate real-life usage

- Showcase and provide idiomatic implementations
- Be starting point for typical use cases
- Maintain a pedagogical spirit
- Can be small or large
- Must-have in scientific software

Examples bridge learning and doing



EXPLANATION

GOAL: Provide holistic understanding, Clarify the Whys

- Make connections
- Provide context
- Talk about the subject
- Discuss alternatives and opinions
- Don't instruct

Explanation is understanding oriented



EXPLANATION

like this because it gives me confidence to make large changes

GOAL: Provide holistic understanding, Clarify the Whys

- Make connections
- Provide context
- Talk about the subject
- Discuss alternatives and opinions
- Don't instruct

Explanation is understanding oriented





Louden Part as the stil limit See " 1 " 17 to be a Revallance

and the same

REFERENCE

GOAL: Provide authoritative information on how things are and work

- Do nothing but describe
- Be consistent
- Be accurate

Reference is consultation oriented



GOAL: Provide authoritative information on how things are and work

- Do nothing but describe
- Be consistent
- Be accurate

Reference is consultation oriented

- Function signatures
- Public APIs

- ...

- System architecture
- Object hierarchy

- Function signatures
- Public APIs

- ...

- System architecture
- Object hierarchy

REFERENCE for scientific software

- Spell out assumptions, methods, formalism, equations
- Define acronyms
- List and link relevant papers
- List all the working features (in one place)

- Function signatures
- Public APIs

- ...

- System architecture
- Object hierarchy

REFERENCE for scientific software

- Spell out assumptions, methods, formalism, equations
- Define acronyms
- List and link relevant papers
- List all the working features (in one place)



The documentation matrix

	TUTORIALS	HOW-TO GUIDES	EXPLANATION	REFERENCE
GOAL	Get started, Become familiar	Accomplish a specific task	Build understanding	Describe
ORIENTED TO	Learning	Tasks	Grokking	Consultation
TARGETING	New users	Users	Advanced users/developers	Everyone (+ scientific community)

EXAMPLES

How to lower the entry barrier to your scientific software



This framework provide natural entry points for **everyone** at **any stage**







Important: This is not the final word!

Frequently Asked Questions

> I only want to spend 15 minutes on documentation, what should I prioritize?

One paragraph where you explain what your code is supposed to accomplish, what input it expects, and what outputs it produces.

Frequently Asked Questions

> I only want to spend 15 minutes on documentation, what should I prioritize?

One paragraph where you explain what your code is supposed to accomplish, what input it expects, and what outputs it produces.

> I only want to spend one hour on documentation, what should I prioritize?

A features page/section

- Immediately useful to everyone that is not you
- Clearly identifies what features are supposed to work

Frequently Asked Questions

> I only want to spend 15 minutes on documentation, what should I prioritize?

One paragraph where you explain what your code is supposed to accomplish, what input it expects, and what outputs it produces.

> I only want to spend one hour on documentation, what should I prioritize?

A features page/section

- Immediately useful to everyone that is not you
- Clearly identifies what features are supposed to work

> I want to write the best documentation ever, what should I do?

I don't know. Think about the core principles. :)

Assess your project with these at-home tests

The survivability test:

Would someone with reasonable experience be able to independently use and extend my code?

Assess your project with these at-home tests

The survivability test:

Would someone with reasonable experience be able to independently use and extend my code?

The accessibility test:

Would a beginner graduate student be able to use and extend my code with proper guidance?

Think of scientific software as a standalone scientific contribution

Design your documentation by keeping in mind that documentation is not one thing and not for one person

Get in touch with me: gbozzola@caltech.edu Linkedin: gabrielebozzola

