# Status of the Linux Slab Allocators

David Rientjes *rientjes@google.com* 

#### **SCALE 9X**

February 26, 2011 Los Angeles, California



1 of 13

#### Status of the Linux Slab Allocators

- As of 2.6.37.1, the latest stable release kernel
- When configuring a kernel.org kernel, few users can make an informed decision on which slab allocator use
- defconfig is of little help, CONFIG\_SLUB regresses significantly on some workloads
- Requires a rebuild to change
- How do you choose which slab allocator to use?
- For systems running a consistent set of workloads, how do you determine what is in your best interest?
- For systems running a wide variety of workloads, what trade-offs do you have to make when making a decision?

### Google

#### **SLUB**

- Unqueued slab allocator, enabled in defconfig
- Merges slab caches with same properties together for cpu cache optimizations
- Object allocation from cpu slab (fastpath), larger orders help
- If full, fallback to per-node partial lists with per-node locking
- Ordering of partial list can cause "slab thrashing" depending on allocation and freeing pattern
- Worst-case: allocate new slab from the page allocator, requires a fast page allocator
- Object free to cpu slab (fastpath)
- Otherwise, free to full or partial slab and update partial lists as necessary
- When slab is empty, it can be freed back to the buddy allocator unless it should stay on the partial list



## **SLUB Debugging**

- Much superior debugging support to any other allocator
- Can be enabled per slab cache rather than globally
- No kernel rebuild is necessary, only reboot to activate via command line or sysfs interface
- **Poisoning:** detects use-after-free
- Red zoning: detects use before and after object
- User tracking: stores alloc and free caller
- Tracing: emits full stack on alloc and free to the kernel log (very verbose)
- Slab cache merging may spew too much information or obfuscate the cause of a problem (may be disabled)
- May increase the slab order to increase as a result (may be disabled)

#### Google

#### **SLAB**

- Deprecated, very little development
- Many distributions still ship with CONFIG\_SLAB even though it is not the kernel default
- Object allocation from array of free objects (fastpath)
- Otherwise, refill array with shared objects that have same memory affinity, if possible
- If not, allocate object from partial slabs and fallback to the page allocator if necessary
- Object free returns objects with affinity to local array, otherwise frees alien cache
- Respects thread's mempolicy and attempts to allocate from correct node, if possible
- Cache reaper runs every few seconds and attempts to clear the percpu caches and free empty slab, if possible

#### **SLOB**

- "Simple List of Blocks" heap allocator with alignment and NUMA support
- Very small memory footprint (less than a page of text)
- Slab pages organized into linked-list of free blocks
- Separate lists depending on object size (<256 bytes, <1024 bytes, and all others) to reduce fragmentation
- Object allocation done by allocating first free set of blocks in slab list
- No optimizations for cpu cache: allocation is done by address, not queue
- Often used in embedded devices or machines with strict RAM limitations

#### Tools for debugging and development

- failslab: fault injection for kmalloc(), kmem\_cache\_alloc() to test error handling of new code
- **kmemcheck:** checks for use of uninitialized memory, requires slab hooks for initialization
- kmemleak: scans through memory and emits the number of unreferenced objects, check debugfs file for list

#### Kernbench

• Benchmarks cpu throughput using a kernel build

#### **16-core machine with 32GB memory (4 nodes)** *10 iterations*

	Half load		Average load		Maximal load	
	SLAB	SLUB	SLAB	SLUB	SLAB	SLUB
Elapsed time	9.960	9.864 (-1.0%)	6.197	5.998 (-3.2%)	6.266	6.281
User time	48.190	48.042	48.828	48.610	49.807	49.586
System time	4.773	4.627 (-3.1%)	4.885	4.754 (-2.1%)	4.913	4.783 (-2.6%)
Percent cpu	531.50	533.70	705.90	717.55 (+1.7%)	772.10	777.37
Context switches	260.10	235.30 (-9.5%)	1670.35	1550.10 <i>(</i> -7.2%)	5224.37	5090.53 <i>(-2.6%)</i>
Sleeps	8601.30	8521.40 <i>(-1.0%)</i>	8895.80	8920.30	8437.73	8449.30



### Netperf TCP\_RR

• Benchmarks round-robin networking performance

**16-core machines with 32GB memory (4 nodes) each** *10 iterations, 60 seconds each* 

Threads	SLAB	SLUB
16	129667	116138 <i>(-10.4%)</i>
32	136506	120057 <i>(-12.1%)</i>
48	141470	125291 <i>(-11.4%)</i>
64	147653	131053 <i>(-11.2%)</i>
80	154212	134125 <i>(-13.0%)</i>
96	153331	134216 <i>(-12.5%)</i>
112	163065	134725 <i>(-17.4%)</i>
128	158108	136577 <i>(-13.6%)</i>
144	161774	144855 <i>(-10.5%)</i>
160	167896	151248 <i>(-10.0%)</i>



#### **SLQB**

- Queued allocator, initially proposed for systems not benefiting from SLUB
- Contains much of the core infrastructure of SLUB
- Object allocation from per-cpu freelist, minimizes cacheline bouncing and returns hot objects (fastpath), otherwise fallback to partial list
- Freelist has a watermark that, when passed, flushes free objects back to slab allowing them to be freed to the buddy allocator if empty
- Objects that are freed on different cpus on which they were allocated are flushed to a remote freelist that eventually move back to the allocating cpu
- Locking to reach into remotely freed lists is controlled by batching and watermarks
- Currently abandoned, may resurface



#### SLUB+Q

- Effort to unify the best qualities of both SLAB and SLUB using the queues from the former and infrastructure from the latter, such as debugging
- Essentially makes the "unqueued" SLUB use a cpu queue
- Adds an object bitmap within the page struct for management, can cause slight increase in memory usage
- Does not do cache reaping like SLAB, fully controlled by page reclaim
- Respects mempolicies of allocating task on a per-object level
- Adds shared and alien caches for cross cpu allocations
- Still regresses for large machines on some benchmarks
- Little recent development
  Google

11 of 13



- Mutable slab allocation system
- Intended as a drop-in replacement for all slab allocators (ambitious)
- Predicated on the concept that all slab caches do not behave the same
- Configurable per cache per cpu behavior depending on its usage and memory requirements
- Single allocation fastpath, different slowpaths depending on allocation and free patterns
- Advisable cache behavior within the kernel with kmem\_cache\_advise()
- Adjustable via sysfs, including automatic flight
- Currently being developed
  Google

#### **Slab allocation development**

- Subsystem co-maintainers
  - Pekka Enberg <penberg@cs.helsinki.fi>
  - Christoph Lameter <cl@linux.com>
  - Matt Mackall <mpm@selenic.com>
- Additional development
  - David Rientjes <rientjes@google.com>
  - Nick Piggin <npiggin@suse.de>
- linux-mm@kvack.org mailing list, LKML

